Effect of loss of vaccine induced immunity in the transmission dynamics of childhood disease

I.G. Usman¹ , S.T. Akinyemi2* , A.A. Ahiaba² , Y.Oyelowo³

¹Department of Mathematics, Zamfara State College of Education, Maru, Nigeria. ²Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria ³Department of Mathematics, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birin Kebbi, Kebbi State. *Corresponding author. E-mail:Sammysalt047@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, a deterministic nonlinear model for the transmission dynamics of childhood disease is formulated and rigorously analyzed to explore the effect of loss of vaccine-induced immunity.

The model was shown to exhibit two equilibria, namely, disease free equilibrium and an endemic equilibrium and their local stability was established using the computated effective reproduction n *imber*(R _{*V*}). The disease free equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable whenever R _{*V*} is less *than unity by using an appropriate Lyapunov function. The global asymptotic stability of* endemic equilibrium was established whenever R ^{*y*} exceeds one by constructing a Lyapunov *function using suitable combination of composite quadratic and logarithmic functions. Numerical simulation was done to validate its satisfactory agreement with the qualitative results, revealing that the loss of vaccine-induced immunity may be harmful to the spread of childhood disease provided it exceeds it critical threshold.*

Keywords: Childhood diseases, Mathematical model, Lyapunov function, Threshold Analysis

1.0 Introduction

In recent years, infectious diseases has taken the attention of vast numbers of individual, public health agency, government and non-government organization due to its devastating impact on public health and imposed great financial burden on the affecting communities (Akinyemi et al., 2016; Sahu and Dhar, 2015). The most common form of infectious diseases are childhood diseases which include chicken pox (also called varicella), rubella, measles, mumps, poliomyelitis, etc. Children within the age of 5 and below are more prone to these diseases due to their frequent contact with their peers, at school or other place (Cui et al., 2014). In 1980, it was recorded that about 2.6million individuals died of measles each year (World Health Organisation, 2013). Although, tremendous effort were made to develop vaccines in preventing childhood diseases. These vaccines were considered to be the most effective strategy in combating the spread of these diseases (Makinde, 2007). Meanwhile Peralta-Rodrigues et al., 2015, stated that despite the substantial reduction of morbidity, new cases of varicella infection were recorded mostly in highly vaccinated school communities after the implementation of the universal varicella vaccination program in the USA in 1955. Various studies of these cases have suggested that the predictors of immunity loss such as the time since vaccination and vaccination of new born may be associated with the persistence of varicella (Peralta-Rodrigues et al., 2015

and other references cited there in). Thus it becomes crucial to study the effect of loss of vaccineinduced immunity in the transmission dynamics of childhood diseases.

In scientific literatures, the use of mathematical models to investigate the transmission dynamics of infectious diseases has aroused the interest of Applied Mathematicians and Biologist. (Al-Sheik et al., 2011; Dogon and Akin, 2012). Several epidemic models for the transmission dynamics of childhood disease are found in (Moghadas and Gumel, 2003; Makinde,2007; Yildirim and Cherruault, 2009; Ibrahim and Ismail,2012; Cui, et al., 2014;El-Shahed and El-Naby,2014), although loss of vaccine-induced immunity and disease induced death were not considered. Epidemic models for childhood disease will be more realistic for developing countries when disease induced death rate are considered,examples of such are found in (Ochoche and Gweryina, 2014; Stephen et al., 2015) while SVIR model with loss of vaccineinduced immunity are found in (Peralta-Rodrigues et al., 2015). Hence this paper is concern with the formulation and rigorous analysis of a chidhood epidemic model with loss of vaccineinduced immunity with the aim of extending and complementing the one presented in (Makinde,2007; Ibrahim and Ismail, 2012; Cui, et al., 2014).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the model formulation. In Section 3, equilibrium states, stability and threshold analysis of the model are presented while Section 4 presents numerical simulation and discussion of results. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2.0 Model Formulation

A non-linear deterministic model for the transmission dynamics of childhood disease in the presence of loss of vaccine induced immunity and constant vaccination is built by dividing the total human population at time t, denoted by $N(t)$ into three disjoint epidemiological subpopulations, which are the susceptible population $S(t)$, infected population $I(t)$ and the removed population $R(t)$ representing the population of both vaccinated and recovered individuals. individuals.
Thus $N(t) = S(t) + I(t) + R(t)$. (1)

The following assumptions were considered to construct the model

- 1. Individuals are only recruited into the susceptible and removed group.
- 2. The studied population varies with time and is homogenous.
- 3. Birth rate is not equal to death rate.
- 4. The force of infection is expressed as $\beta S(t)I(t)$.
- 5. The induced death rate of childhood disease was incorporated

The model is therefore governed by the following system of non-linear differential equations.
 $\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - P)\pi N - \frac{\beta SI}{N} - \mu S + \theta R$

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - P)\pi N - \frac{\beta SI}{N} - \mu S + \theta R
$$

$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{\beta SI}{N} - (\mu + \gamma + \delta)I
$$

$$
\frac{dR}{dt} = P\pi N + \gamma I - (\mu + \theta)R
$$

(2)

The non-dimensional form of system (2) is given by:

$$
\frac{ds}{dt} = (1 - P)\pi - \beta s i - \pi s + \theta r
$$

\n
$$
\frac{di}{dt} = \beta s i - K_1 i
$$

\n
$$
\frac{dr}{dt} = P\pi + \gamma i - K_2 r
$$

\n(3)

(3)
Where $s = \frac{S}{N}$, $i = \frac{I}{N}$, $r = \frac{R}{N}$, $K_1 = \pi + \gamma + \delta$, K_2 $\frac{S}{N}$, $i = \frac{I}{N}$, $r = \frac{R}{N}$ $=\frac{S}{N}, i=\frac{I}{N}, r=\frac{R}{N}, K_1=\pi+\gamma+\delta, K_2=\pi+\theta$ and $n=s+i+r$

Parameters Symbols | Hypothetical Values | Source Recruitment rate π 0.4 Makinde,2007 Disease transmission coefficient β 0.8 Ibrahim and Ismail,2012 Natural death rate μ 0.02 Safiel et al., 2012; Ibrahim et al., 2015. Disease induced death rate δ 0.09 Rahman and Zou, 2012. Recovery rate γ 0.03 Makinde, 2007 Vaccination rate of newborn $P = | (0,1)$ Ibrahim and Ismail,2012 Rate of loss of vaccine-induced immunity θ (0,1) Assumed

Table 1: Parameters Description and Hypothetical Values

Lemma 1: The close set $\Omega = \{(s,i,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : s+i+r \leq 1\}$ $\Omega = \{(s, i, r) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_+: s + i + r \leq 1\}$ is positively invariant and attracting with respect to the system (3)

Proof

From (3), we note that $\frac{dn}{dt} = \pi - \pi n - \delta i \le \pi - \pi n$ and establish that $n(t) \le n(0)e^{-\pi t} + \left[1 - e^{-\pi t}\right]$ by a standard comparism theorem (Lakshmikantham et al., 1989). $n(t)$ approaches 1 as $t \to \infty$, so the system (3) is positively-invariant and attracting in Ω . Thus the model is mathematically and epidemiologically meaningful in Ω (Hethcote, 2000), and it is sufficient to consider solutions in Ω .

3.0 Existence of Equilibrium States, Stability and Threshold Analysis
The disease free equilibrium of system (3) is obtained as

$$
\varepsilon_0 = \left(S_*, \vec{l}_*, \vec{r}_*, \vec{r}_*\right) = \left(\frac{K_2(1-P) + \theta P}{K_2}, 0, \frac{P\pi}{K_2}\right)
$$

The effective reproduction number denoted by R_V will be used to analyze the stability of the model at ε_0 . This is determine by using the next generation method as shown in (Heffernan et al., 2005), where F and V are matrices denoting the new infection terms and transition terms at ε_0 ectively. Therefore
 $\begin{pmatrix} \beta s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $V = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

respectively. Therefore
\n
$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} \beta s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad V = \begin{pmatrix} K_1 & 0 \\ -\gamma & K_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
R_V = \rho \left(F V^{-1} \right) = \frac{\beta \left(K_2 (1 - P) + \theta P \right)}{K_1 K_2}
$$
\n(4)

The endemic equilibrium of the model denoted by $\varepsilon_1 = (\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{\vec{l}}^*, \mathbf{\vec{r}}^*)$ expressed in terms of R_V , is obtained as
 $\varepsilon_1 = (\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{\vec{l}}^*, \mathbf{\vec{r}}^*) = \left(\frac{K_1}{\beta}, \frac{K_1 K_2 \pi (R_V - 1)}{\beta (K_1 K_2 - \theta \gamma)}, \frac{P \pi + \gamma \mathbf{\$ obtained as $\left(\frac{K_1}{K_1} \frac{K_1 K_2 \pi (R_v - 1)}{K_1} \frac{P \pi + \gamma i^*}{F} \right)$

obtained as
\n
$$
\varepsilon_1 = \left(\mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{\vec{l}}^*, \mathbf{\vec{r}}^* \right) = \left(\frac{K_1}{\beta}, \frac{K_1 K_2 \pi (R_V - 1)}{\beta (K_1 K_2 - \theta \gamma)}, \frac{P \pi + \gamma \mathbf{\vec{i}}^*}{K_2} \right)
$$
\n(5)

3.1 Local Stability Analysis of Equilibrium States

Theorem 1: The disease-free equilibrium of system (3) is locally asymptotically stable whenever R_V < 1 and unstable otherwise.

Proof

The Jacobian matrix of the system (3), evaluated at ε_0 is given as
 $\begin{pmatrix} -\pi & -\beta s & \theta \end{pmatrix}$

$$
J(\varepsilon_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi & -\beta s & \theta \\ 0 & \beta s - K_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & -K_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

(6)

The characteristic equation of (6) is of the form

$$
(\lambda + \pi)(\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + a_0) = 0
$$

(7) where

$$
a_1 = K_2 + K_1 - \beta s_*
$$

$$
a_0 = K_2 (K_1 - \beta s_*)
$$

Expressing a_1 and a_0 in terms of R_v , with the aid of (4) to have

$$
a_1 = K_2 + K_1 (1 - R_V)
$$

$$
a_0 = K_1 K_2 (1 - R_V)
$$

 $\mathcal{L}P(FV^*) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_1 K_2}$

endemic equilibrium of the model denoted by $\mathcal{L}_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{I}^*, \mathbf{I}^* \right\}$ expressed in terms of K_1 ,

and as
 $\mathbf{I} = \left\{ \mathbf{S}^*, \mathbf{I}^*, \mathbf{I}^* \right\} = \left\{ \frac{K_1}{\mathcal{K}_1 K_2 (R$ It is obvious that the roots of (7) are all negative whenever $R_V < 1$. Thus by Routh Hurwitz criterion, we conclude that the system (3) is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $R_V < 1$. The epidemiological implication of Theorem 1 is that the spread of childhood disease can be effectively controlled in the community (when R_V <1) if the initial sizes of the sub-populations of the model are in the basin of attraction of the disease-free equilibrium ε_0 , otherwise the

disease will continue to persist.

Theorem 2: The endemic equilibrium of system (3) is locally asymptotically stable whenever $R_V > 1$ and unstable otherwise.

Proof

The Jacobian matrix of the system (3), evaluated at ε_1 is given as

$$
J(\varepsilon_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi - \beta s & -\beta s & \theta \\ \beta i & \beta s - K_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & -K_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

\n(8)
\n(8)
\nThe characteristic equation of (8) is of the form
\n $(\lambda^3 + b_2 \lambda^2 + b_1 \lambda + b_0) = 0$
\n(9)
\nwhere
\n $b_2 = K_2 + K_1 + \pi + \beta i^* - \beta s^*$
\n $b_1 = K_1 K_2 + K_2 \pi + K_2 \beta i^* - K_2 \beta s^* + K_1 \beta i^* + K_1 \pi - \beta s^* \pi$
\n $b_0 = K_1 K_2 \pi - \gamma \theta \beta i^* + K_1 K_2 \beta i^* - K_2 \beta s^* \pi$
\nRewriting b_2, b_1 and b_0 in terms of R_v , using (4) to have
\n $b_2 = K_2 + \pi + \beta i^*$
\n $b_1 = K_2 \pi + K_2 \beta i + K_1 \beta i^*$
\n $b_0 = \beta i^* (K_1 K_2 - \gamma \theta)$

Since the components of the endemic equilibrium are positive provided $R_V > 1$, then b_2 , b_1 and b_0 are greater than zero. Hence concluding the proof since Routh Hurwitz criterion is satisfied.

3.2 Global Stability Analysis of Equilibrium States

To qualitatively show that the dynamic behavior of the system is independent of the initial sizes of the sub population, global stability analysis is done by constructing suitable lyapunov function. Thus establishing the following results.

Theorem 4: The disease-free equilibrium of system (3) is globally asymptotically stable whenever $R_V < 1$ and unstable otherwise.

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov function

 $L_1 = i$ (10) Differentiating (10) with respect to time t to obtain $\frac{dL_1}{dt} = \frac{di}{dt}$ dt dt Thus, using the second equation from system (3) to obtained $\frac{dL_1}{dt} = \beta s i - K_1 i$ *dt* $=\beta si - K_i i$ (11) Substituting $\binom{1-P}{2}$ * 2 $K_2(1-P)+\theta P$ *s s K* $(P) + \theta P$ \leq s_{*} = $\frac{K_2(1-P)+\theta P}{K_2}$ into (11), to get $\frac{1}{2} \leq \left(\beta \frac{K_2(1-P)+\theta P}{K_1} - K_1 \right)$ 2 $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq \left(\beta \frac{K_2(1-P)+\theta P}{K_1}-K_1\right)$ $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq \left(\beta \frac{K_2(1-P)+\theta P}{K_2}-K_1\right)i$

 $1 \Big(\frac{P}{K_1 K_2} \Big)$ $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq K_1 \left(\beta \frac{K_2 (1-P) + \theta P}{K K} - 1 \right) i$ $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq K_1 \left(\beta \frac{K_2(1-P) + \theta P}{K_1 K_2} - 1 \right) i$ $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq K_1 (R_V - 1)i$ $\le K_1 (R_V - 1)$

dt Clearly, $\frac{dL_1}{dt} \leq 0$ *dt* ≤ 0 when $R_v \leq 1$ and $\frac{dL_1}{dt} = 0$ *dt* $= 0$ if and only if $i = 0$. It follows from Lasalle's Invariance Principle (La Salle and Lefschetz, 1961), that every solution to the system (3) with initial conditions in Ω approaches ε_0 as $t \to \infty$. Thus, since the region Ω is positively-invariant, the disease free equilibrium is globally asymtotically stable in Ω if $R_v \leq 1$.

Theorem 4: The endemic equilibrium of system (1) is globally asymptotically stable whenever $R_V > 1$ and unstable otherwise.

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov function

$$
R_{V} > 1 \text{ and unstable otherwise.}
$$

\nProof. Consider the Lyapunov function
\n
$$
L_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(s - s^{*} \right) + \left(i - i^{*} \right) + \left(r - r^{*} \right) \right)^{2} + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(i - i^{*} - i^{*} \ln \frac{i}{i^{*}} \right) + \frac{\left(2\pi + \delta \right)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^{*} \right)^{2}
$$
\nDifferentiating (12) with respect to time to obtain
\n
$$
\frac{dL_{2}}{dt} = \left(\left(s - s^{*} \right) + \left(i - i^{*} \right) + \left(r - r^{*} \right) \right) \frac{dn}{t} + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{i^{*}}{t} \right) \frac{di}{t} + \frac{\left(2\pi + \delta \right)}{2} \left(r - r^{*} \right) \frac{dr}{t}
$$
\n(12)

$$
L_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(s - s^{*} \right) + \left(i - i^{*} \right) + \left(r - r^{*} \right) \right)^{2} + \frac{2\lambda + 6}{\beta} \left(i - i^{*} - i^{*} \ln \frac{i}{i^{*}} \right) + \frac{(2\lambda + 6)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^{*} \right)^{2}
$$
\nDifferentiating (12) with respect to time to obtain\n
$$
\frac{dL_{2}}{dt} = \left(\left(s - s^{*} \right) + \left(i - i^{*} \right) + \left(r - r^{*} \right) \right) \frac{dn}{dt} + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{i^{*}}{i} \right) \frac{di}{dt} + \frac{(2\pi + \delta)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^{*} \right) \frac{dr}{dt}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dL_{2}}{dt} = \left(\left(s - s^{*} \right) + \left(i - i^{*} \right) + \left(r - r^{*} \right) \right) \left(\pi - \pi \left(s + r \right) - \left(\mu + \delta \right) i \right) + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{i^{*}}{i} \right) \left(\beta s i - K_{1} i \right) + \frac{(2\pi + \delta)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^{*} \right) \left(P\pi + \gamma i - K_{2} r \right)
$$
\nUsing\n
$$
\pi = \mu \left(s^{*} + r^{*} \right) + \left(\mu + \delta \right) i^{*} \text{ and } K_{1} = \beta s^{*} \qquad \text{to} \qquad \text{simply to} \qquad (13) \qquad \text{as}
$$

$$
\frac{dI_3}{dt} \leq K_1 \left(\beta \frac{K_2(1-P)+\theta P}{K_1 K_2}-1\right)i
$$
\nClearly, $\frac{dI_4}{dt} \leq 0$ when $R_r \leq 1$ and $\frac{dI_4}{dt} = 0$ if and only if $i = 0$. It follows from I asalle's Invariance
\nPrinciple (La Salle and Lefschetz, 1961), that every solution to the system (3) with initial
\nofsions in 2 approaches ε_0 as $t \to \infty$. Thus, since the region Ω is positively-invariant, the
\nclasses free equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable in Ω if $R_r \leq 1$.
\n**Theorem 4:** The endemic equilibrium of system (1) is globally asymptotically stable whenever
\n $R_r > 1$ and unstable otherwise.
\nProof. Consider the Lyapunov function
\n
$$
I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(s - s^* \right) + \left(i - i^* \right) + \left(r - r^* \right) \right)^2 + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(i - i^* - i^* \frac{i}{t^*} \right) + \frac{(2\pi + \delta)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^* \right)^2
$$
\n(12) Differentiating (12) with respect to time to obtain
\n
$$
\frac{dI_2}{dt} = \left(\left(s - s^* \right) + \left(i - i^* \right) + \left(r - r^* \right) \right) \frac{dI_1}{dt} + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{\beta} \left(1 - \frac{i^*}{i} \right) \frac{dI_1}{dt} + \frac{(2\pi + \delta)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^* \right) \frac{dr}{dt}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\left(s - s^* \right) + \left(i - i^* \right) + \left(r - r^* \right) \right) \frac{dI_1}{dt} + \frac{2\pi + \delta}{2\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{i^*}{i} \right) \left(\beta s i - K_1 i \right) + \frac{(2\pi + \delta)}{2\gamma} \left(r - r^* \right) \left(P - r^* \right) \frac{dr}{dt} = - \left(\left(s - s^* \right)
$$

$$
(2\pi + \delta)(i - i)(s - s) + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma}(r - r)(\gamma(i - i) - K_2(r - r))
$$

$$
\frac{dL_2}{dt} = -((s - s^*) + (r - r^*))^2 - (\pi + \delta)(i - i^*)^2 - \frac{K_2(2\pi + \delta)}{\gamma}(r - r^*)^2
$$

Thus, for $R_V > 1$, $\frac{dL_2}{dt} \le 0$ *dt* ≤ 0 , where $\frac{dL_2}{dt} = 0$ *dt* $= 0$ holds only when $s = s^*$, $i = i^*$ and $r = r^*$. The only largest invariant set in $\left\{ (s, i, r) \in \Omega : \frac{dL_2}{dt} = 0 \right\}$ is is the endemic equilibrium. Therefore the endemic

equilibrium ε_1 is globally asymptotically stable in the interior Ω , by LaSalle's invariance theorem principle (La Salle and Lefschetz, 1961).

3.3 Threshold Analysis and Critical Thresholds

To measure the effect of vaccination and loss of vaccine-induced immunity qualitatively, we perform threshold analysis. This is done by computing the partial derivatives of R_V with respect to P and θ respectively to obtain

$$
\frac{\partial R_V}{\partial P} = \frac{-\beta \pi}{K_1 K_2} < 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial R_V}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta \pi P}{K_1 K_2^2} > 0
$$
\n(14)\n
\n(15)

We have from (14) that R_V is a decreasing function of P, thus increase in P will reduces R_V and consequently reduces the burden of childhood disease. Similarly, we note from (15) that R_V is an increasing function of θ , which signifies that childhood disease will continue to persist as θ increases.

The need to obtain critical threshold for P and θ is of great importance in eliminating or containing the spread of childhood disease. Thus we have from (4) the critical thresholds for vaccination and loss of vaccine-induced immunity denoted by P_c and θ_c respectively as

$$
P_C = \frac{K_2 (\beta - K_1)}{\beta \pi}
$$

(16)

$$
\theta_C = \frac{\pi (K_1 - \beta (1 - P))}{\beta - K_1}
$$
\n(17)

It is readily seen by Theorem $1-4$ that the following results hold true.

Theorem 5: The disease free equilibrium of system (3) is globally asymptotically stable whenever $P_C < P$ and unstable otherwise.

Theorem 6: The endemic equilibrium of system (1) is globally asymptotically stable whenever $\theta > \theta_c$ and unstable otherwise.

4.0 Numerical Simulation and Discussion

In this section, some numerical solutions of the model for different initial population sizes is presented using the various values of the parameters stated in Table.1 and to validate that these solutions are in agreement with the qualitative behaviors of the model obtained in section 2. Thus, we choose different initial population sizes such that the total population, $s + i + r = 1$ as follows.

International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematical Theory ISSN 2489-009X Vol. 2 No.3 2016 www.iiardpub.org

S_0	\mathbf{v}_0	r_{0}	θ	P	R_{V}	Remarks
			0.05	0.9	0.3077	ε_0 stable (disease eradication)
0.8	0.2		0.05	0.9	0.3077	ε_0 stable (disease eradication)
0.8	0.2		0.05	0.3	1.1282	ε_1 stable (no eradication)
0.7	0.2	0.1	0.05	θ	1.5385	ε_1 stable (no eradication)
\mathbf{r} . The \mathbf{r}					\sim \sim 1.100 \sim	the contract of the contract of m 1 1 4

Table 2: Effect of vaccination at different parameter values $(P_c = 0.3938)$

Note: The table is generated by using the parameter values in Table 1

Table 3: Effect of loss of vaccine-induced immunity at different parameter values $(\theta_c = 0.0114)$

S_0	\mathbf{v}_0	r_0	θ		$R_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$	Remarks
			U	0.36	0.9846	ε_0 stable (disease eradication)
0.8	0.2		0.01	0.36	0.9981	ε_0 stable (disease eradication)
0.8	0.2	U	0.03	0.36	1.0233	ε_1 stable (no eradication)
0.7	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.36	1.0462	ε_1 stable (no eradication)

Note: The table is generated by using the parameter values in Table 1.

Fig.1 depict the numerical solution curve of the system (3) for $\theta = 0.05$, $P = 0.9$, $P_c = 0.3938$ and $R_V = 0.3077$, showing the impact of high vaccination coverage on the initial total population that is completely susceptible. The population of susceptible group decreases while the removed group increases with time. The total population remains at disease free equilibrium, since the infected group stays at zero at all time. Thus elimination of childhood disease is achievable whenever $P_c < P$ or $R_v < 1$ and the disease free equilibrium is globally stable.

Fig. 1. Time plots of system (3) with different initial conditions for R_{ν} < 1 (i.e. P_{ν} < P) Fig.2 depict the numerical solution curve of the system (3) for $\theta = 0.05$, $P = 0.3$, $P_C = 0.3938$ and R_V = 1.1282, showing the impact of low vaccination coverage on the initial population groups with a small population of infected individuals. The population of susceptible individuals decreases at first and slightly increases while the population of removed individuals increases with time. The population of infected individuals gradually decreases and will never tend to zero. Thus childhood disease will continue to persist whenever $P_c > P$ or $R_v > 1$ and the endemic equilibrium remains stable globally.

Fig. 2. Time plots of system (3) with different initial conditions for R _{*v*} > 1 (i.e. P_c > P) Fig.3 shows the numerical solution curve of the system (3) for $P = 0.36$, $\theta = 0$, $\theta_c = 0.0114$ and $R_V = 0.9846$, displaying the impact of absence immunity loss on the disease free initial population groups. The population of susceptible individuals decreases while the population of removed individuals increases with time. The total population remains at disease free equilibrium, since the population of infected individual stays at zero at all time. The population of infected individuals gradually decreases and will never tend to zero. Thus whenever $\theta < \theta_c$ or R_V <1, childhood disease will go into extinction and the disease free equilibrium is stable globally.

Fig. 3. Time plots of system (3) with different initial conditions for R ^{*v*} < 1 (i.e. θ < θ ^{*c*})

Fig.4 shows the numerical solution curve of the system (3) for $P = 0.36$, $\theta = 0.03$, $\theta_c = 0.0114$ and $R_V = 1.0233$, displaying the impact of immunity loss on the initial population groups with a small population of infected individuals. The population of susceptible individuals decreases while the population of removed individuals increases with time. The population of infected individuals gradually decreases and will never tend to zero. Thus childhood disease will continue to persist whenever $\theta > \theta_c$ or $R_v > 1$ and the endemic equilibrium remains stable globally.

Fig. 4. Time plots of system (3) with different initial conditions for $R_{v} > 1$ (i.e. $\theta_{C} > \theta$)

5.0 Conclusion

In this paper, the epidemiological dynamics of childhood disease in the presence of loss of vaccine-induced immunity and constant vaccination was studied by formulating and analyzing a nonlinear model of three compartments. Some of the key findings are summarized as follows.

1. The model is globally-asymptotically stable at disease free equilibrium whenever the effective reproduction number R_V is less than unity.

2. The model's endemic equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable whenever the effective reproduction number exceeds unity.

3. An increase in vaccination coverage reduces effective reproduction number and consequently reduces prevalence of childhood disease. Thus elimination of childhood disease is achievable by increasing vaccination coverage above the critical vaccination threshold.

4. Higher level of loss of vaccine-induced immunity results in increase in effective reproduction number, and, hence aggrandizes the burden of childhood disease. Loss of vaccine-induced immunity is detrimental whenever it exceeds it critical threshold.

References

- Akinyemi, S.T., Ibrahim, M.O., Usman, I. G., Odetunde, O. (2016). Global Stability Analysis of SIR Epidemic Model with Relapse and Immunity Loss. International Journal of Applied Science and Mathematical Theory, **2**(1):1-12.
- Al-Sheikh, S., Musali, F. and Alsolami ,M. (2011). Stability Analysis of an HIV/AIDS Epidemic Model with Screening. International Mathematical Forum, 6(66):3251-3273.

Cui, Q., Xu, J., Zhang, Q. and Wang, K. (2014). An NSFD scheme for SIR epidemic models of

 Childhood diseases with constant vaccination strategy. Advances in Difference Equations, **2014**:172, 1-15. doi: 10.1186/1687-1847-2014-172.

- Dogon,N. and Akin,O.(2012). Series Solution of Epidemic Model. TWMS J. App. Eng. Math, 2(2):238-244.
- El-Shahed, M. and El-Naby, F.A.(2014). Fractional Calculus Model for Childhood Diseases and Vaccines. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 8(98):4859-4866. Available at [http://dx-doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.4294.](http://dx-doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.4294)
- Heffernan, J. M., Smith, R. J. and Wahl, L. M. (2005). Perspectives on the basic reproductive ratio. J. R.Soc. Interface, 2:281–293.
- Hethcote, H. W. (2000). The mathematics of infectious diseases. SIAM Re-view. 42: 599-653.
- Ibrahim, S.F.M. and Ismail, S.M. (2012). Differential Transformation Approach to a SIR Epidemic Model with Constant Vaccination. Journal of American Science, 8(7):764-769.
- Ibrahim, M.O., Akinyemi, S.T., Dago, M.M and Bakare, N.G. (2015). Mathematical Modelling of a Staged Progression HIV/AIDS Model with Control Measures. Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics,29: 163-166.
- Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S. and Martynyuk, A. A. (1989). Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Systems. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel.
- La Salle, J. and Lefschetz, S. (1961). Stability by Liapunov's Direct Method with Applications. Academic Press, New York.
- Makinde, O.D.(2007). Adomian decomposition approach to a SIR epidemic model with constant vaccination strategy. Applied Mathematics and Computation **184**:842–848.
- Moghadas, S.M and Gumel, A.B. (2003).A mathematical study of a model for childhood diseases with non-permanent immunity. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 157:347-363.
- Ochoche, J.M. and Gweryina, R.I. (2014). A Mathematical Model for Measles with Vaccination and Two Phases of Infectiousness. IOSR Journal of Mathematics 10(1): 95-105.
- Peralta, R., Vargas-De-Leon, C. and Miramontes, P. (2015).Global Stability Results in a SVIR Epidemic Model with Immunity Loss Rate Depending on the Vaccine-Age. Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2015, Article ID341854, 8pages. http//:dx. doi.org /10 .1155/201 5/ 341854.
- Rahman, S. M. A., Zou, X.(2012). Global Dynamics of a Two-Strain Disease Model with Latency and Saturating Incidence Rate. Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly, 20(1):51-73.
- Safiel, R., Massawe, E.S and Makinde, O.D. (2012).Modelling the Effect of Screening and Treatment on Transmission of HIV/AIDS Infection in a Population. American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 2(4): 75-88. doi: 10.5923/j.ajms.20120204.03.
- Sahu, G.P. and Dhar, J. (2015). Dynamics of an SEQIHRS epidemic model with media coverage, quarantine and isolation in a community with pre-existing immunity. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **421**:1651–1672.
- Stephen, E., Kitengeso, R. E., Kiria, G. T., Felician, N., Mwema, G.G., Mafarasa, A. P. A. (2015). Mathematical Model for Control and Elimination of the Transmission Dynamics of Measles. Applied and Computational Mathematics, 4(6):396-408. doi: 10.1164 /j.acm.20150406.12
- Yildirim, A. and Cherruault, Y. (2009). Analytical approximate solution of a SIR epidemic model with constant vaccination strategy by homotopy perturbation method. Kybernetes, 38: 1566-1575.

World Health organization. Measles fact sheet*.*Available fromhtp://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs286/en/.Accessedon 5th May 2013.